

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/694,714 | 10/23/2000 | C. Philip Vassar | 51000.P022 3232 | | |
| 7590 10/22/2004 KAPLAN & GILMAN,L.L.P. | | | EXAMINER VU, VIET DUY | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 2154 | | | | |
| | DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004 | DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - | | Applicati | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/694,7 | | VASSAR ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examine | <u> </u> | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Viet Vu | | 2154 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communicat | | e cover sheet with th | l i | | | | |
| Period fo | • • | | | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION. ' CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. ys, a reply within the stary period will apply and we by statute, cause the appropriate the appropriate in th | ent, however, may a reply b tutory minimum of thirty (30) iill expire SIX (6) MONTHS f blication to become ABAND | the timely filed I days will be considered timely. I from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. & 133) | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed or | n <i>20 Julv 2004</i> . | | , | | | | |
| | • | This action is r | ion-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice u | ınder <i>Ex parte Qı</i> | <i>layle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11 | , 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the appli | ication. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w | | nsideration. | • | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-11,14-24 and 27-37</u> is/are re | jected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>12,13,25,26,38 and 39</u> is/are o | | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election r | equirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. | • | | | | | |
| | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. No | ote the attached Off | ice Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f | oreign priority un | der 35 U.S.C. & 119 |)(a)-(d) or (f) | | | | |
| _ | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority doc | uments have bee | n received in Applic | cation No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | ne priority docum | ents have been rece | eived in this National Stage | | | | |
| | application from the International | • | ` '' | · | | | | |
| * 8 | see the attached detailed Office action fo | r a list of the certi | fied copies not rece | eived. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | • • | | _ | | | | | |
| 1) X Notic 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 |)./ O.\ | 4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai | ary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inform | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO | /SB/08) | 5) Notice of Information | al Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Pape | r No(s)/Mail Date | - | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Art Rejections:

1. The texts of 35 USC 102(e) and 103(a) not cited here can be found in the previous office action.

2. Claims 1-2, 14-15 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Chen et al, U.S. pat. Appl. Publication No. 2002/0062334.

<u>Chen</u> discloses a system and method for distributing service requests to a plurality of agents comprising:

- a) a plurality of program instructions to implement service agents for handling a request for service from an offeree to a service/offer provided by a plurality of service providers (see page 3, paragraph 39),
- b) one or more queues for registering the request,
- c) means for allowing the service agents associated with service provider to select the request in the queue, i.e. in pull mode (see page 6, paragraph 83).
- 3. Claims 3-11, 16-24 and 29-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen.

Per claims 3, 8 and 11, <u>Chen</u> does not teach providing a call service. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize

Chen's invention in any conventional applications including call services. It is also noted that the use of hyperlinks to invoke a service request is well known in the art.

Per claims 4-7 and 9-10, <u>Chen</u> does not explicitly teach using topically organized data queues for queuing user's requests. An official notice is taking that the use of topically organized data queues is well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize topically organized data queues in <u>Chen</u> because it would have enabled agents to identify types of request for appropriately handling, e.g., sales or services (<u>see Chen's page 6</u>, paragraph 83).

Claims 16-24 and 29-37 are similar in scope as that of claims 3-11.

Allowable Subject Matter:

4. Claim 12-13, 25-26 and 38-39 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2154

Response to Amendment:

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 7/20/04 with respect to claims 1-11, 14-24 and 27-37 have been fully considered but they are most in view of new ground of rejection set forth above.

Applicant asserts that prior art of record fails to teach registering service requests in a queue and allowing service agents to select the requests in the queue.

It is submitted that the asserted limitations are disclosed by Chen's teachings of a request broker having a queue and pull mode operation as discussed above.

Conclusion:

- 6. The following references are cited by the examiner but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY

Art Unit: 2154

EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is (703) 305-9597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached on (703) 305-8498.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

holin

Art Unit 2154 10/18/04